Multiple dissimilarity SOM for clustering and visualizing graphs with node and edge attributes Nathalie Villa-Vialaneix, INRA, UR 0875 MIAT &



Madalina Olteanu, Université Paris 1, SAMM, France nathalie.villa@toulouse.inra.fr - madalina.olteanu@univ-paris1.fr

Standard SOM for multidimensional data [4]



Cluster data $(x_i)_{i=1,...,n} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ on a grid made of U units and equipped with a distance between units, d(u, u')Units have representers called **prototypes** $(p_u)_u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ Clustering $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{1, \ldots, U\}$ and prototypes are **updated itera**tively in order to preserve the topology of the input space

- 1. affectation step: pick a data x_i at random and find the best **matching unit**: $f(x_i) := \arg \min_{u=1,...,U} ||x_i - p_u||^2$
- 2. *representation step*: update the BMU and its neighbors' prototypes with a stochastic gradient descent like scheme: $p_u \leftarrow$ $p_u + \mu H(d(f(x_i), u))(x_i - p_u)$

Extension of SOM to data described by a kernel / a dissimilarity

Data: $(x_i)_{i=1,...,n} \in \mathcal{G}$ described by pairwise relations with a kernel $\mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ or a dissimilarity $\Delta \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n} \Rightarrow$ stochastic kernel SOM [2] and stochastic relational SOM [6] implemented in **SOMbrero** (R package)

Prototypes: linear convex combination of the data $p_u = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ui} \phi(x_i)$ (only $(\beta_{ui})_{u=1,...,U,i=1,...,n}$ are trained. ϕ is implicitly defined by the kernel/dissimilarity) **Updated steps**:

1. affectation step writes $f(x_i) = \arg \min_u \beta_u^T \mathbf{K} \beta_u - 2\beta_u^T \mathbf{K}_i$ (kernel SOM) or $f(x_i) =$ $\arg \min_u \Delta_i \beta_u - \frac{1}{2} \beta_u^T \Delta \beta_u$ (relational SOM)

2. representation step writes $\beta_u \leftarrow \beta_u + \mu H(d(f(x_i), u)) (\mathbf{1}_i - \beta_u)$

Applications to graphs

Type of data that can be handled:

- **graphs with node attributes** (a kernel for the graph structure *e.g.*, Laplacian based kernels; kernels for each of the attributes)
- graphs with different types of edge (a kernel for each subgraph defined by an edge type)

Mixing multiple kernels

Data are described by several pairwise relations (kernels/dissimilarities) $\mathbf{K}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{K}^D \Rightarrow$ **Multiple kernel:** $\mathbf{K} = \sum_{k=1}^{D} \alpha_k \mathbf{K}^k$ with $\alpha_k \ge 0$ and $\sum_k \alpha_k = 1$

How to choose $(\alpha_k)_k$?

Similarly to [8], add a stochastic gradient descent step in SOM training:

3. multiple kernel tuning step $\alpha_k \leftarrow \alpha_k + \nu \mathcal{D}_{ki}$ with $\mathcal{D}_{ki} =$ $\sum_{u} H(d(f(x_i), u)) \left(\mathbf{K}^k(x_i, x_i) - 2\beta_u^T \mathbf{K}_i^k \right)$ $+\beta_u^T \mathbf{K}^k \beta_u$) (+ reduction & projection to ensure the α_k remain positive and sum to

see [5] (multiple kernels) or [6] (multiple dissimilarities)

• both... and can also be used to **combine different kernels with dif**ferent parameters

Useful for:

- uncover communities...
- ... and visualize the relations between communities
- as shown in [7], the result of the SOM can be combined with clustering of the prototypes to obtain a simplified representation of a graph



human metabolic network from the BiGG database http://bigg.ucsd.edu

An example (on simulated data)

Simulation observations of 8 groups made of from:





- unweighted graph (planted 3-partition graph; see [1]) with two dense groups of nodes: commute time kernel (L^+ with L the Laplacian; see [3])
- nodes are labelled with numeric data from a 2D Gaussian mixture: Gaussian kernel;
- ... and nodes are labelled with a factor (2levels): Gaussian kernel on 0/1 recoding.

Resulting Map













References

- [1] A. Condon & R.M. Karp (2001) Algorithms for graph partitioning on the planted partition model. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **18**(2), 116-140.
- [2] D. mac Donald & C. Fyfe (2000) The kernel self organising map. In: Proceedings of 4th International Conference on Knowledge-Based Intelligence Engineering Systems and Applied Technologies, 317-320.
- [3] F. Fouss, A. Pirotte, J.M. Renders & M. Saerens (2007) Random-walk computation of similarities between nodes of a graph, with application to collaborative recommendation. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, **19**(3), 355-369.
- [4] T. Kohonen (1995) *Self-Organizing Maps*, Springer.
- [5] M. Olteanu & N. Villa-Vialaneix (2013) Multiple kernel self-organizing maps. In: Proceedings of XXIst European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning (ESANN 2013), M. Verleysen (ed), 83-88, Bruges, Belgium.
- [6] M. Olteanu & N. Villa-Vialaneix (2015) On-line relational and multiple relational SOM. *Neurocomputing*, **147**, 15-30.
- M. Olteanu & N. Villa-Vialaneix (2015) Using **SOMbrero** for clustering and visualizing graphs. *Journal de la Société Française de Statistique. Forthcoming.* [7]
- [8] A. Rakotomamonjy, F.R. Bach, S. Canu & Y. Grandvalet (2008) SimpleMKL. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 9, 2491-2521.