

---

# Multiple dissimilarity SOM for clustering and visualizing graphs with node and edge attributes

---

**Nathalie Villa-Vialaneix**

INRA, UR 0875 MIAT, BP 52627, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, FRANCE

NATHALIE.VILLA@TOULOUSE.INRA.FR

**Madalina Olteanu**

SAMM, EA4543, Université Paris 1, 90 route de Tolbiac, 75013 Paris cedex 13, FRANCE

MADALINA.OLTEANU@UNIV-PARIS1.FR

**Introduction** When wanting to understand the way a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is structured and how the relations it models organize groups of entities, clustering and visualization can be combined to provide the user with a global overview of the graph, on the form of a projected graph: a simplified graph is visualized in which the nodes correspond to a cluster of nodes in the original graph  $\mathcal{G}$  (with a size proportional to the number of nodes that are classified inside this cluster) and the edges between two nodes have a width proportional to the number of links between the nodes of  $\mathcal{G}$  classified in the two corresponding clusters. This approach can be trickier when additional attributes (numerical or factors) describe the nodes of  $\mathcal{G}$  or when the edges of  $\mathcal{G}$  are of different types and should be treated separately: the simplified representation should then represent similarities for all sets of information. In this proposal, we present a variant of Self-Organizing Maps (SOM), which is adapted to data described by one or several (dis)similarities or kernels recently published in (Olteanu & Villa-Vialaneix, 2015) and which is able to combine clustering and visualization for this kind of graphs.

**SOM for dissimilarity data** The relational/kernel SOMs are two adaptations of the well known SOM algorithm (Kohonen, 2001) to data that are described by a dissimilarity matrix  $(\delta(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  (or a kernel) (Hammer & Hasenfuss, 2010) among others. It aims at projecting the data  $(x_i)_i$  into a two-dimensional grid made of  $U$  units and equipped with a distance that defines a topology on the grid. Each unit is represented by a prototype  $p_u$  which can be interpreted as a generalized centroid of the observations in the Euclidean case. When the data  $(x_i)_i$  are not defined in a Euclidean space, (Goldfarb, 1984) (dissimilarity case) justifies the definition of  $p_u$  as a convex combination of some transformation of the data  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \phi(x_i)$  with  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$ . The algorithm then iterates over an *affectation step* which affects one data picked at random to the unit with the closest prototype (in the kernel/dissimilarity sense) and a *representation step* which mimics a stochastic gradient descent in the feature space defined by  $\phi$ . This

method is implemented in the R package **SOMbrero**. It can be used to cluster the vertices of the graph on a SOM grid, using any dissimilarity (shortest path lengths or distance induced by a kernel for graphs). Functions that allow to display the projected graph using the *a priori* positions of the clusters on the grid are included in this package.

**Graphs with attributes** When additional information is provided on the nodes or when the edges are of different types, the graph can be described by several dissimilarity matrices  $(D^k)_{k=1,\dots,K}$ , each describing the dissemblance between nodes for a given feature (each kind of edges or each attribute describing the nodes). We have proposed to define a new dissimilarity based on the convex definition of the different dissimilarities  $\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k D^k$  and to optimize the convex combination  $(\beta_k)_k$  including a stochastic gradient descent step in the algorithm, performed after the representation step (see (Rakotomamonjy et al., 2008) for a similar idea). The approach has been proven successful to cluster nodes of a graph with numeric and factor descriptors on a synthetic graph. It is still to be tested for graphs with multiple type edges.

## References

- Goldfarb, L. A unified approach to pattern recognition. *Pattern Recognition*, 17(5):575–582, 1984. doi: 10.1016/0031-3203(84)90056-6.
- Hammer, B. and Hasenfuss, A. Topographic mapping of large dissimilarity data sets. *Neural Computation*, 22(9):2229–2284, September 2010.
- Kohonen, T. *Self-Organizing Maps, 3rd Edition*, volume 30. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2001.
- Olteanu, M. and Villa-Vialaneix, N. On-line relational and multiple relational SOM. *Neurocomputing*, 147:15–30, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2013.11.047.
- Rakotomamonjy, A., Bach, F.R., Canu, S., and Grandvalet, Y. SimpleMKL. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9:2491–2521, 2008.